arXiv:cond-mat/9707265v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 25 Jul 1997

Comment on: ”Different Results for the Equilibrium Phases of Cerium above 5 GPa“

A recent Letter by McMahon and Nelmes [1] claims that the dispute on the equilibrium phases of cerium metal beyond 5 GPa has arisen simply from differences in the sample production and preparation. Our previously published results on the $4f$ occupation numbers of cerium metal under high pressure [2] contradict the essential of their claim that cerium between 5 and 12 GPa has a stable single phased equilibrium structure (either monoclinic C2/m *or* orthorhombic α-U). Actually, we have put forward strong evidence for the coexistence of at least two structurally different phases to be near the true equilibrium situation across the entire α', α" phases [2].

First, the thermodynamic equilibrium of the Ce phases is strongly influenced by the electronic instability of cerium in the solid state depending on temperature and volume, in particular on the $4f$ occupation number, ν, [2], and on the volume dependence of the $4f$ hybridization [3]. We have performed measurements of ν (closely related with the fractional valence, $v = 3 + \nu$) in elemental cerium under pressures up to 12 GPa. The valence is near 3 at ambient pressure, jumps to 3.14 in the $\gamma - \alpha$ transition at 0.8 GPa, increases to 3.26 near 3 GPa. Most important, beyond 5 GPa it remains constant at 3.22 across the entire α', α" phases [2]. The saturation of the valence in elemental Ce between 5 and 12 GPa corroborates the experimental results obtained earlier from numerous x-ray absorption and photoemission studies of so-called α-like intermetallic compounds and dilute alloys yielding Ce valences clustering near 3.25 [2]. We have shown [2] that the saturation of the valence beyond 5 GPa finds a straightforward thermodynamic explanation in the formation of two or more coexisting structural phases. From a Maxwell construction of the two phase region we have been able to obtain the additional degree of freedom accounting for the pressure independent (average) fractional valence in the range 5–12 GPa.

Second, about 20 years ago Zachariasen [4] pointed to the effects of sample production and preparation on the structures of Ce between 5 and 12 GPa. From his seminal studies of the high-pressure forms of elemental cerium he concluded ”it is not known at the present time why the transformation at about 51 kbar sometimes leads to the formation of the stable phase α'-Ce and at other times to the formation of the metastable phases α"-Ce I or α"-Ce II, or to the formation of a mixture of α'-Ce and α"-Ce phases. Small impurities, rate of change of pressure, thermal and pressure history of the sample, and anisotropy of the applied pressure are possible factors that could influence the nature of the transition“. McMahon and Nelmes [1] believe that the answer to this problem is ”simply“ in the difference between ”cold-worked non-USA cerium“ (filings) yielding only one monoclinic form (not two: α"-Ce I and α"-Ce II), and ”non-cold-worked USA cerium“ (slices) yielding only the orthorhombic form α'-Ce (α-U structure). Although the chemical, thermal, and mechanical history of the samples has not been not under the full control of McMahon and Nelmes [1], they state the origin of the structural differences to be in the locus of the sample production and in ”cold-working“. It is well established that slices cut from an ingot of Ce are exerted to ill defined strain transforming them at least partially into the α-phase. Thus produced slices have to be considered as beeing ”cold-worked“ as well as the filings. However, the effect of surface tension is different for the (unannealed) small grains (filings) transforming much easier into an α-like state than for the larger slices tending to stabilize a γ-like state. The effect of surface tension on the structural and electronic properties (e.g. lattice parameters, magnetic susceptibility, $4f$ occupation number) shows up already at ambient pressure and may be used to define properly the initial conditions of the high pressure experiment.

In conclusion, the statement of McMahon and Nelmes [1] that the C2/m structure is the only monoclinic form of Ce in the range 5–12 GPa appears to be unfounded from their experimental procedures. To establish the structural equilibrium situation beyond 5 GPa a detailed and complete control of all parameters that could influence the equilibrium is required. Sample characterizations of the type “cold-worked non-USA” and “non-cold-worked USA” are misleading.

J. Röhler

Universität zu Köln
II. Physikalisches Institut
Zülpicherstr. 77, D-50937 Köln
Germany
abb12@rs1.rrz.uni-koeln.de

Received
PACS numbers: 61.55.F, 62.50, 74.70.S

---

[1] M.I. McMahon, and R.J. Nelmes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3884 (1997).

[2] J. Röhler, D. Wohlleben, J.P. Kappler, and G. Krill, Phys. Lett. **103A**, 220 (1984); D. Wohlleben, and J. Röhler, J. Appl. Phys. **55**, 1904 (1984).

[3] J.W. Allen, R.M. Martin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 1106 (1982).

[4] W. H. Zachariasen, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **75**, 1066 (1978).